# Regional Analysis of Fish Consumption Rate Estimates for Rural Alaska Populations 

Prepared by Alaska Department of Fish \& Game Division of Subsistence for the Department of Environmental Conservation's Human Health Criteria Technical Workgroup discussion, January 2019. Contact: Jim Fall (jim.fall@alaska.gov), Marylynne Kostick (marylynne.kostick@alaska.gov).<br>Overview of Methodology<br>\section*{Survey}

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game Division of Subsistence (ADF\&G) collects information on community-level harvest and use patterns of wild resources through a series of standardized questions that are administered at the household level by ADF\&G staff and trained local research assistants. Survey questions relevant to this report pertain to amounts of resources harvested by the household and, regardless of any household harvest, if a household used, received, or gave away a given resource. Survey designs take the form of either comprehensive surveys (including all resource categories: salmon, nonsalmon fish, marine invertebrates, land mammals, marine mammals, and vegetation) or targeted surveys (i.e. salmon only). Harvests reported to and recorded by ADF\&G during household surveys are not limited to subsistence harvests as defined by state regulations and recorded through permit returns; all harvests of resources taken by methods defined under sport, personal use, and subsistence regulations, as well as resources procured through commercial home-packs, are recorded and used to develop the harvest and use profiles for each study community. Depending on community size, a $70-100 \%$ sample achievement is often sought and attained. Each study community is unique and specific sampling methodology can be found within Division Technical Papers that report study findings (http://www.adfg.alaska.gov/sf/publications/).

## Study Communities and Resources

Communities surveyed by ADF\&G representing 2008-2015 harvest (study) years were considered for inclusion in this preliminary analysis. In some instances, ADF\&G-defined study communities ('study communities') included multiple census-designated communities. A total of 110 study communities, consisting of 118 census-designated communities and representing six regions of Alaska, were included in the final analyses in this report (refer to Appendix A and C for a map of the regions and list communities, respectively). Communities deemed rural by State definition (refer to Fall, 2016) were included in this analysis, thus eliminating the following communities that were also surveyed by ADF\&G during the time period under consideration: Healy (2014), Denali Park Village (2015), Talkeetna (2012), Trapper Creek (2012), Ferry (2015), and Mentasta Pass (2010). The resource categories salmon, nonmarine fish (non-salmon), halibut, herring, marine invertebrates, and marine mammals were selected for the analyses in this report in response to the needs of the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation's (ADEC) request for fish consumption rate estimates to be considered for updates to the human health criteria portion of the water quality criteria. As a result, any resource harvested for dog food was removed prior to analysis as were spotted seals, which are primarily used for dogfood and crafts (e.g. the skins for sewing). The study community Allakaket (2011) was not included in this analysis despite it being classified as rural due to the inability to confidently decipher fish harvested for dog food from fish harvested for human consumption.

## Percentiles

Computation of percentiles was done based on previous work between ADF\&G and ADEC for the development of wild food consumption rate estimates (see Wolfe \& Utermohle, 2000). Briefly, the method to determine percentiles of fish consumption involves creating three user groups within each study community at the species level: 1) households that harvested a resource and did not share; 2) households that harvested a resource and shared with others or households that did not harvest a resource and received from others; 3 ) households that did not use the resource. For households harvesting and not sharing, use level per person is computed by dividing total household harvest by total household size, assuming all harvest was consumed equally by all residents of the household. Use level per person for households in user group two, constituting the sharing group, was computed by summing all household harvests of those households that harvested and shared and dividing it by the sum of all households who gave or received the resource under the assumption that all harvests were shared within the study community and consumed equally by all individuals of households reporting use of the resource. Households reporting no use of the resource received a use level of zero. Use levels for each species were then summed based on the analysis of interest (i.e. inclusion/exclusion of marine mammals), which was rank ordered and the percentile rank occupant of interest ( $75^{\text {th }}, 85^{\text {th }}, 90^{\text {th }}$, and $95^{\text {th }}$ ) was identified.

## Outliers

At the species level, outliers were identified as being two standard deviations from the mean studycommunity consumption estimate rate and a consumption rate estimate of twice the upper limit of the suggested daily intake of fish/protein ( 340.2 grams per day). Outliers not reporting resource sharing were adjusted to reflect sharing of the resource in effort to reflect the availability of that resource to other households within the study community. In special cases whereby, an outlier was identified but households using the resource in the study community was below that of the number of households the harvesting household reported sharing with (as reflected in field notes) the harvest was removed from the study community total under the assumption that the majority of the harvest was likely shared outside of the study community.

## Urban Estimates

Data from annual harvest monitoring programs for fish and marine mammals were used to calculate fish consumption rate estimates for urban residents. For further insight into calculations please refer to Fall (2016) and ADF\&G (2016). Species could not be selected out for this portion of the exercise nor could percentiles be calculated as data was not available at the household level.

Table 1. Sum total salmon, nonmarine fish, and marine invertebrate per capita consumption comparisons, Alaska.

| Area | Fish Consumption Estimates Per Capita (grams per day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Consumers and non-consumers |  |  |  |  |  | Consumers only |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mean | Median | Percentiles |  |  |  | Mean | Median | Percentiles |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 75th | 85th | 90th | 95th |  |  | 75th | 85th | 90th | 95th |
| Urban | 8.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rural/Subsistence | 143.3 | 109.9 | 200.1 | 261.9 | 310.5 | 395.5 | 156.1 | 121.5 | 211.1 | 272.2 | 322.5 | 404.9 |
| Southeast | 124.6 | 91.9 | 168.3 | 231.3 | 269.6 | 330.0 | 129.3 | 92.9 | 170.1 | 236.5 | 282.5 | 330.0 |
| Southcentral | 105.6 | 85.1 | 144.0 | 186.3 | 219.4 | 290.4 | 116.8 | 96.2 | 151.5 | 192.5 | 233.1 | 298.4 |
| Southwest | 185.2 | 167.9 | 248.5 | 298.4 | 340.2 | 396.7 | 192.7 | 170.4 | 253.4 | 298.7 | 341.9 | 398.4 |
| Western | 194.7 | 154.3 | 265.5 | 346.7 | 409.2 | 504.9 | 203.5 | 161.4 | 270.6 | 358.4 | 415.3 | 509.5 |
| Arctic | 133.6 | 95.0 | 190.2 | 264.1 | 306.8 | 376.6 | 148.5 | 104.2 | 207.6 | 273.7 | 318.6 | 393.7 |
| Interior | 109.8 | 86.8 | 163.1 | 203.5 | 238.4 | 302.4 | 128.2 | 107.1 | 173.0 | 219.8 | 249.9 | 312.7 |

Source ADF\&G Division of Subsistence household surveys, 2009-2016.


Figure 1. Mean, $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile, and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile fish consumption rate estimates per capita (grams per day) comparisons for consumers of salmon, nonmarine fish, and marine invertebrates by region, Alaska.

Table 2. Sum total salmon, nonmarine fish, marine invertebrate, and marine mammal (excluding bowhead whale) per capita consumption comparisons, Alaska.

|  |  |  |  | Fish | nsumpt | Estim | Capit | ams per d |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Consu | rs and n | -consun |  |  |  |  | onsume | only |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Perce | iles |  |  |  |  | Perc |  |  |
| Area | Mean | Median | 75th | 85th | 90th | 95th | Mean | Median | 75th | 85th | 90th | 95th |
| Urban | 8.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rural/Subsistence | 166.4 | 130.4 | 240.5 | 315.2 | 361.4 | 449.0 | 181.0 | 144.0 | 253.9 | 326.9 | 371.1 | 458.9 |
| Southeast | 139.5 | 94.4 | 210.1 | 269.6 | 310.3 | 369.3 | 144.7 | 100.5 | 214.4 | 277.8 | 312.3 | 369.7 |
| Southcentral | 108.7 | 85.6 | 149.0 | 192.4 | 231.8 | 309.7 | 120.2 | 97.6 | 155.4 | 200.7 | 237.4 | 319.5 |
| Southwest | 192.2 | 168.2 | 260.9 | 302.4 | 345.7 | 424.4 | 200.0 | 174.1 | 262.0 | 304.3 | 351.4 | 424.8 |
| Western | 221.7 | 178.4 | 316.3 | 395.8 | 456.0 | 561.0 | 231.6 | 188.9 | 322.8 | 403.6 | 463.4 | 562.6 |
| Arctic | 191.2 | 161.0 | 300.2 | 355.3 | 393.3 | 473.4 | 211.1 | 175.8 | 315.4 | 361.6 | 404.3 | 488.3 |
| Interior | 109.8 | 86.8 | 163.1 | 203.5 | 238.4 | 302.4 | 128.2 | 107.1 | 173.0 | 219.8 | 249.9 | 312.7 |

Source ADF\&G Division of Subsistence household surveys, 2009-2016.


Figure 2. Mean, $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile, and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile fish consumption rate estimates per capita (grams per day) comparisons for consumers of salmon, nonmarine fish, marine invertebrates, and marine mammals (excluding bowhead whales) by region, Alaska.

Table 3. Sum total salmon, nonmarine fish, marine invertebrate, and marine mammal per capita consumption comparisons, Alaska.
Fish Consumption Estimates Per Capita (grams per day)

| Area | Consumers and non-consumers |  |  |  |  |  | Consumers only |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mean | Median | Percentiles |  |  |  | Mean | Median | Percentiles |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 75th | 85th | 90th | 95th |  |  | 75th | 85th | 90th | 95th |
| Urban | 8.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rural/Subsistence | 183.7 | 133.2 | 256.3 | 343.19 | 426.9 | 575.8 | 199.3 | 148.7 | 271.2 | 360.3 | 442.1 | 587.0 |
| Southeast | 139.5 | 94.4 | 210.1 | 269.6 | 310.3 | 369.3 | 144.7 | 100.5 | 214.4 | 277.8 | 312.3 | 369.7 |
| Southcentral | 108.7 | 85.6 | 149.0 | 192.4 | 231.8 | 309.7 | 120.2 | 97.6 | 155.4 | 200.7 | 237.4 | 319.5 |
| Southwest | 192.2 | 168.2 | 260.9 | 302.4 | 345.7 | 424.4 | 200.0 | 174.1 | 262.0 | 304.3 | 351.4 | 424.8 |
| Western | 221.7 | 178.4 | 316.3 | 395.8 | 456.0 | 561.0 | 231.6 | 188.9 | 322.8 | 403.6 | 463.4 | 562.6 |
| Arctic | 260.3 | 181.3 | 406.6 | 558.4 | 641.4 | 694.8 | 284.5 | 218.0 | 435.7 | 579.4 | 647.9 | 698.2 |
| Interior | 109.8 | 86.8 | 163.1 | 203.5 | 238.4 | 302.4 | 128.2 | 107.1 | 173.0 | 219.8 | 249.9 | 312.7 |

Source ADF\&G Division of Subsistence household surveys, 2009-2016.


Figure 3. Mean, $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile, and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile fish consumption rate estimates per capita (grams per day) comparisons for consumers of salmon, nonmarine fish, marine invertebrates, and marine mammals by region, Alaska.

Table 4. Sum total salmon, nonmarine fish, halibut, herring, and marine invertebrate per capita consumption comparisons, Alaska.

| Area | Fish Consumption Estimates Per Capita (grams per day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Consumers and non-consumers |  |  |  |  |  | Consumers only |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mean | Median | Percentiles |  |  |  | Mean | Median | Percentiles |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 75th | 85th | 90th | 95th |  |  | 75th | 85th | 90th | 95th |
| Urban | 8.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rural/Subsistence | 152.9 | 119.4 | 216.8 | 277.3 | 327.4 | 415.5 | 165.7 | 130.5 | 227.8 | 289.0 | 336.1 | 424.6 |
| Southeast | 174.1 | 142.8 | 235.2 | 307.0 | 337.2 | 402.0 | 179.5 | 147.7 | 241.2 | 307.8 | 337.5 | 409.8 |
| Southcentral | 118.6 | 101.5 | 157.0 | 203.5 | 242.2 | 314.8 | 129.6 | 109.7 | 161.6 | 216.1 | 255.3 | 327.4 |
| Southwest | 191.0 | 168.2 | 260.9 | 303.8 | 351.4 | 433.9 | 198.7 | 172.3 | 261.1 | 304.3 | 354.9 | 438.5 |
| Western | 201.1 | 162.7 | 273.0 | 356.0 | 417.9 | 509.5 | 209.9 | 170.7 | 280.1 | 366.5 | 424.6 | 514.6 |
| Arctic | 137.6 | 95.8 | 198.0 | 276.0 | 323.3 | 381.9 | 152.3 | 106.0 | 220.7 | 294.3 | 330.7 | 395.8 |
| Interior | 112.4 | 91.0 | 165.1 | 206.2 | 242.0 | 302.4 | 130.2 | 108.2 | 176.2 | 221.0 | 251.0 | 311.9 |

Source ADF\&G Division of Subsistence household surveys, 2009-2016.


Figure 4. Mean, $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile, and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile fish consumption rate estimates per capita (grams per day) comparisons for consumers of salmon, nonmarine fish, halibut, herring, and marine invertebrates by region, Alaska.

Table 5. Sum total salmon, nonmarine fish, halibut, herring, marine invertebrate, seal, and sea lion per capita consumption comparisons, Alaska.

| Area | Fish Consumption Estimates Per Capita (grams per day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Consumers and non-consumers |  |  |  |  |  | Consumers only |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mean | Median | Percentiles |  |  |  | Mean | Median | Percentiles |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 75th | 85th | 90th | 95th |  |  | 75th | 85th | 90th | 95th |
| Urban | 8.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rural/Subsistence | 167.4 | 134.6 | 238.4 | 304.9 | 354.1 | 449.3 | 181.2 | 147.0 | 248.9 | 315.2 | 364.88 | 456.5 |
| Southeast | 185.9 | 150.7 | 277.0 | 324.5 | 352.5 | 424.0 | 191.7 | 158.6 | 279.5 | 325.6 | 357.6 | 424.6 |
| Southcentral | 121.6 | 101.9 | 158.5 | 211.2 | 254.5 | 329.8 | 132.7 | 110.2 | 165.1 | 221.7 | 257.9 | 338.3 |
| Southwest | 196.3 | 169.7 | 261.1 | 313.9 | 357.9 | 455.9 | 204.2 | 175.6 | 266.2 | 318.5 | 363.1 | 475.0 |
| Western | 216.5 | 172.7 | 303.8 | 385.7 | 452.3 | 543.4 | 225.8 | 184.2 | 311.3 | 393.6 | 455.3 | 548.3 |
| Arctic | 173.3 | 149.9 | 252.4 | 322.5 | 365.9 | 448.6 | 191.1 | 164.4 | 263.9 | 332.1 | 376.6 | 458.9 |
| Interior | 112.4 | 91.0 | 165.1 | 206.2 | 242.0 | 302.4 | 130.2 | 108.2 | 176.2 | 221.0 | 251.0 | 311.9 |

Source ADF\&G Division of Subsistence household surveys, 2009-2016.


Figure 5. Mean, $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile, and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile fish consumption rate estimates per capita (grams per day) comparisons for consumers of salmon, nonmarine fish, halibut, herring, marine invertebrates, seal, and sea lion by region, Alaska.

Table 6. Sum total nonmarine fish, and marine invertebrate per capita consumption comparisons, Alaska.

| Area | Fish Consumption Estimates Per Capita (grams per day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Consumers and non-consumers |  |  |  |  |  | Consumers only |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mean | Median | Percentiles |  |  |  | Mean | Median | Percentiles |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 75th | 85th | 90th | 95th |  |  | 75th | 85th | 90th | 95th |
| Urban | 8.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rural/Subsistence | 48.7 | 21.5 | 63.8 | 98.5 | 133.9 | 205.0 | 66.9 | 41.0 | 84.0 | 126.5 | 160.6 | 239.6 |
| Southeast | 30.5 | 16.8 | 40.8 | 64.8 | 81.8 | 108.8 | 38.2 | 21.7 | 51.0 | 74.5 | 94.1 | 125.9 |
| Southcentral | 15.3 | 1.8 | 13.9 | 27.8 | 40.9 | 73.8 | 26.9 | 11.3 | 29.8 | 51.0 | 69.5 | 93.4 |
| Southwest | 47.3 | 43.1 | 64.5 | 74.9 | 113.7 | 118.5 | 61.9 | 53.0 | 70.0 | 88.6 | 118.2 | 122.9 |
| Western | 64.2 | 41.6 | 99.3 | 134.3 | 155.7 | 200.7 | 79.9 | 58.5 | 114.8 | 143.4 | 171.3 | 213.9 |
| Arctic | 74.9 | 42.1 | 85.3 | 144.4 | 237.9 | 300.6 | 93.0 | 55.3 | 101.5 | 206.0 | 261.2 | 318.6 |
| Interior | 31.9 | 10.0 | 37.7 | 65.1 | 94.2 | 144.7 | 49.9 | 28.6 | 63.4 | 97.3 | 126.7 | 157.9 |

Source ADF\&G Division of Subsistence household surveys, 2009-2016.


Figure 6. Mean, $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile, and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile fish consumption rate estimates per capita (grams per day) comparisons for consumers of nonmarine fish, and marine invertebrates by region, Alaska.

## Appendix A



Figure A1. Map of Alaska regions applied in analysis.

## Appendix B

Table B1. Ethnic composition of survey participants by region,

| Alaska. | \% of surveyed <br> population that is <br> Alaska Native | \% of population of <br> consumers that is <br> Alaska Native |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Region | $55.9 \%$ | $55.1 \%$ |
| Southeast | $35.3 \%$ | $33.4 \%$ |
| Southcentral | $84.9 \%$ | $83.7 \%$ |
| Southwest | $90.2 \%$ | $88.7 \%$ |
| Western | $89.9 \%$ | $85.3 \%$ |
| Arctic | $60.7 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ |
| Interior |  |  |
| Source ADF\&G Division of Subsistence household surveys, 2009- |  |  |
| 2016. |  |  |

## Appendix C

Table C1. Southeast Alaska study communities.

| Community | Study year | Sampled |  | Estimated |  | Average household size | \% of population that is Alaska Native | Study type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Households | Population | Households | Population |  |  |  |
| Angoon | 2012 | 51 | 143 | 122 | 342.1 | 2.8 | 89.5\% | Comprehensive |
| Haines | 2012 | 132 | 310 | 818 | 1921.1 | 2.4 | 15.8\% | Comprehensive |
| Hoonah | 2012 | 122 | 319 | 280 | 732.1 | 2.6 | 64.0\% | Comprehensive |
| Hydaburg | 2012 | 48 | 134 | 119 | 332.2 | 2.8 | 92.5\% | Comprehensive |
| Klukwan | 2014 | 24 | 48 | 32 | 64.0 | 2.0 | 91.7\% | Comprehensive |
| Whale Pass | 2012 | 21 | 43 | 27 | 55.3 | 2.1 | 0.0\% | Comprehensive |
| Yakutat | 2015 | 101 | 249 | 240 | 591.7 | 2.5 | 59.0\% | Comprehensive |

Table C2. Southcentral Alaska study communities.

| Community | Study year | Sampled |  | Estimated community |  | Average household size | \% of population that is Alaska Native | Study type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Households | Population | Households | Population |  |  |  |
| Cantwell | 2012 | 55 | 130 | 83 | 196.2 | 2.4 | 17.7\% | Comprehensive |
| Chase | 2012 | 16 | 31 | 18 | 34.9 | 1.9 | 0.0\% | Comprehensive |
| Chenega | 2014 | 12 | 25 | 17 | 35.4 | 2.1 | 64.0\% | Comprehensive |
| Chistochina | 2009 | 27 | 71 | 33 | 86.8 | 5.3 | 64.8\% | Comprehensive |
| Chitina | 2012 | 46 | 114 | 54 | 133.8 | 2.5 | 42.1\% | Comprehensive |
| Copper Center ${ }^{1}$ | 2010 | 80 | 218 | 158 | 430.6 | 2.7 | 39.5\% | Comprehensive |
| Cordova | 2014 | 184 | 504 | 950 | 2602.2 | 2.7 | 15.9\% | Comprehensive |
| Gakona | 2012 | 42 | 110 | 77 | 201.7 | 2.6 | 20.9\% | Comprehensive |
| Glennallen | 2013 | 77 | 211 | 140 | 383.6 | 2.7 | 17.5\% | Comprehensive |
| Gulkana | 2013 | 29 | 91 | 33 | 103.6 | 3.1 | 69.2\% | Comprehensive |
| Kenny Lake ${ }^{2}$ | 2012 | 67 | 164 | 174 | 417.2 | 2.5 | 11.6\% | Comprehensive |
| Lake Louise | 2013 | 10 | 19 | 14 | 26.6 | 1.9 | 0.0\% | Comprehensive |
| McCarthy Road | 2012 | 39 | 69 | 58 | 102.6 | 1.8 | 1.5\% | Comprehensive |
| Mendeltna | 2013 | 10 | 24 | 14 | 33.6 | 2.4 | 0.0\% | Comprehensive |
| Mentasta Lake | 2010 | 23 | 68 | 36 | 106.4 | 3.0 | 85.3\% | Comprehensive |
| Nanwalek | 2014 | 56 | 223 | 58 | 231.0 | 4.0 | 91.9\% | Comprehensive |
| Nelchina | 2013 | 18 | 47 | 29 | 75.7 | 2.6 | 8.5\% | Comprehensive |
| Paxson | 2013 | 8 | 23 | 11 | 31.6 | 2.9 | 0.0\% | Comprehensive |
| Port Graham | 2014 | 41 | 105 | 58 | 148.5 | 2.6 | 89.5\% | Comprehensive |
| Seldovia ${ }^{3}$ | 2014 | 95 | 208 | 127 | 278.1 | 2.2 | 23.1\% | Comprehensive |
| Skwentna | 2012 | 30 | 53 | 35 | 61.8 | 1.8 | 3.8\% | Comprehensive |
| Slana ${ }^{4}$ | 2010 | 62 | 127 | 86 | 176.2 | 2.1 | 15.8\% | Comprehensive |
| Susitna | 2012 | 11 | 20 | 13 | 23.6 | 1.8 | 10.0\% | Comprehensive |
| Tatitlek | 2014 | 21 | 58 | 27 | 74.6 | 2.8 | 87.9\% | Comprehensive |
| Tazlina | 2013 | 79 | 232 | 120 | 352.4 | 2.9 | 39.2\% | Comprehensive |
| Tolsona | 2013 | 8 | 16 | 12 | 24.0 | 2.0 | 0.0\% | Comprehensive |
| Tonsina | 2013 | 23 | 53 | 39 | 89.9 | 2.3 | 11.3\% | Comprehensive |
| Tyonek | 2013 | 49 | 111 | 63 | 142.7 | 2.3 | 95.5\% | Comprehensive |

[^0]Table C3. Southwest Alaska study communities.

| Community | Study year | Sampled |  | Estimated community |  | Average household size | \% of population that is Alaska Native | Study type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Households | Population | Households | Population |  |  |  |
| Akutan | 2008 | 36 | 74 | 40 | 82.2 | 2.1 | 93.2\% | Comprehensive |
| Chignik City | 2014 | 25 | 64 | 30 | 76.8 | 2.6 | 65.6\% | Salmon only |
| Chignik Lagoon | 2014 | 16 | 45 | 25 | 70.3 | 2.8 | 80.0\% | Salmon only |
| Chignik Lake | 2014 | 19 | 55 | 26 | 75.3 | 2.9 | 90.9\% | Salmon only |
| Clarks Point | 2014 | 13 | 27 | 15 | 31.2 | 2.2 | 92.6\% | Salmon only |
| Dillingham | 2014 | 200 | 595 | 997 | 2902.4 | 3.0 | 63.4\% | Salmon only |
| Egegik | 2014 | 20 | 57 | 25 | 71.3 | 2.9 | 70.2\% | Comprehensive |
| Ekwok | 2014 | 30 | 84 | 36 | 100.8 | 2.8 | 94.1\% | Salmon only |
| Koliganek | 2014 | 51 | 168 | 60 | 197.7 | 3.3 | 95.2\% | Comprehensive |
| New Stuyahok | 2014 | 101 | 464 | 112 | 514.5 | 4.6 | 97.0\% | Salmon only |
| Perryville | 2014 | 34 | 99 | 39 | 113.6 | 2.9 | 98.0\% | Salmon only |
| Pilot Point | 2014 | 17 | 47 | 23 | 63.6 | 2.8 | 83.0\% | Comprehensive |
| Togiak | 2008 | 80 | 341 | 188 | 801.4 | 4.3 | 98.0\% | Comprehensive |
| Ugashik | 2014 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 8.8 | 1.3 | 60.0\% | Comprehensive |

Table C4. Western Alaska study communities.

| Community | Study year | Sampled |  | Estimated community |  | Average household size | \% of population that is Alaska Native | Study type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Households | Population | Households | Population |  |  |  |
| Akiak | 2010 | 63 | 273 | 89 | 385.7 | 4.3 | 86.8\% | Comprehensive |
| Bethel | 2012 | 466 | 1607 | 1645 | 5672.8 | 3.5 | 70.8\% | Comprehensive |
| Eek | 2013 | 64 | 247 | 90 | 347.3 | 3.9 | 97.2\% | Comprehensive |
| Emmonak | 2008 | 109 | 480 | 179 | 788.3 | 4.4 | 97.9\% | Comprehensive |
| Kwethluk | 2010 | 93 | 428 | 155 | 713.3 | 4.6 | 97.7\% | Comprehensive |
| Marshall | 2010 | 46 | 185 | 85 | 341.9 | 4.0 | 96.8\% | Comprehensive |
| Mountain Village | 2010 | 115 | 499 | 181 | 785.4 | 4.3 | 95.2\% | Comprehensive |
| Napakiak | 2011 | 56 | 199 | 89 | 316.3 | 3.6 | 93.0\% | Comprehensive |
| Napaskiak | 2011 | 56 | 280 | 96 | 480.0 | 5.0 | 96.1\% | Comprehensive |
| Oscarville | 2010 | 12 | 54 | 14 | 63.0 | 4.5 | 98.2\% | Comprehensive |
| Pilot Station | 2013 | 94 | 460 | 128 | 626.4 | 4.9 | 96.7\% | Comprehensive |
| Quinhagak | 2013 | 109 | 493 | 162 | 732.7 | 4.5 | 99.2\% | Comprehensive |
| Russian Mission | 2011 | 46 | 234 | 79 | 401.9 | 5.1 | 95.7\% | Comprehensive |
| Scammon Bay | 2013 | 86 | 439 | 123 | 627.9 | 5.1 | 97.3\% | Comprehensive |
| Tuluksak | 2010 | 68 | 360 | 86 | 455.3 | 5.3 | 99.2\% | Comprehensive |
| Tuntutuliak | 2013 | 67 | 266 | 104 | 412.9 | 4.0 | 97.4\% | Comprehensive |

Table C5. Arctic Alaska study communities.

| Community | Study year | Sampled |  | Estimated community |  | Average household size | \% of population that is Alaska Native | Study type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Households | Population | Households | Population |  |  |  |
| Ambler | 2014 | 55 | 202 | 74 | 271.8 | 3.7 | 91.6\% | Fish only |
| Barrow | 2014 | 259 | 869 | 1584 | 5314.7 | 3.4 | 66.7\% | Comprehensive |
| Buckland | 2014 | 90 | 475 | 98 | 517.2 | 5.3 | 97.7\% | Fish only |
| Deering | 2013 | 32 | 93 | 44 | 127.9 | 2.9 | 93.6\% | Comprehensive |
| Diomede | 2013 | 25 | 51 | 39 | 79.6 | 2.0 | 94.1\% | Comprehensive |
| Golovin | 2012 | 33 | 101 | 59 | 180.6 | 3.1 | 85.2\% | Comprehensive |
| Kiana | 2014 | 73 | 295 | 98 | 396.0 | 4.1 | 95.3\% | Fish only |
| Kobuk | 2014 | 28 | 128 | 33 | 150.9 | 4.6 | 86.7\% | Fish only |
| Kotzebue | 2014 | 214 | 773 | 826 | 2983.6 | 3.6 | 77.4\% | Comprehensive |
| Noatak | 2014 | 106 | 469 | 125 | 553.1 | 4.4 | 97.4\% | Fish only |
| Noorvik | 2014 | 96 | 427 | 124 | 551.5 | 4.5 | 98.1\% | Fish only |
| Nuiqsut | 2014 | 58 | 223 | 108 | 415.2 | 3.9 | 96.0\% | Comprehensive |
| Point Hope | 2014 | 105 | 439 | 176 | 735.9 | 4.2 | 97.5\% | Comprehensive |
| Point Lay | 2014 | 40 | 177 | 63 | 278.8 | 4.4 | 92.1\% | Fish only |
| Selawik | 2014 | 161 | 692 | 183 | 786.6 | 4.3 | 96.7\% | Fish only |
| Shishmaref | 2014 | 86 | 379 | 140 | 617.0 | 4.4 | 96.3\% | Comprehensive |
| Shungnak | 2014 | 43 | 177 | 62 | 255.2 | 4.1 | 94.9\% | Fish only |
| Stebbins | 2013 | 87 | 369 | 135 | 572.6 | 4.2 | 93.5\% | Comprehensive |
| Wainwright | 2014 | 75 | 292 | 145 | 564.5 | 3.9 | 96.6\% | Fish only |

Table C6. Interior Alaska study communities.

| Community | Study year | Sampled |  | Estimated community |  | Average household size | \% of population that is Alaska Native | Study type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Households | Population | Households | Population |  |  |  |
| Alatna | 2011 | 6 | 21 | 9 | 31.5 | 3.5 | 100.0\% | Comprehensive |
| Anderson | 2015 | 50 | 118 | 79 | 186.4 | 2.4 | 1.7\% | Comprehensive |
| Anvik | 2011 | 24 | 66 | 32 | 88.0 | 2.8 | 95.5\% | Comprehensive |
| Beaver | 2011 | 25 | 50 | 36 | 72.0 | 2.0 | 100.0\% | Comprehensive |
| Bettles | 2011 | 8 | 12 | 8 | 12.0 | 1.5 | 0.0\% | Comprehensive |
| Coldfoot | 2011 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 10.0 | 2.0 | 0.0\% | Comprehensive |
| Dot Lake ${ }^{5}$ | 2011 | 14 | 33 | 21 | 49.5 | 2.4 | 63.6\% | Comprehensive |
| Dry Creek | 2011 | 27 | 82 | 30 | 91.1 | 3.0 | 0.0\% | Comprehensive |
| Evansville | 2011 | 13 | 20 | 13 | 20.0 | 1.5 | 45.0\% | Comprehensive |
| Galena | 2010 | 80 | 215 | 158 | 424.6 | 2.7 | 68.4\% | Comprehensive |
| Grayling | 2011 | 41 | 158 | 55 | 212.0 | 3.9 | 96.8\% | Comprehensive |
| Hughes | 2014 | 26 | 69 | 34 | 90.2 | 2.7 | 100.0\% | Comprehensive |
| Manley Hot Springs | 2012 | 41 | 87 | 58 | 123.1 | 2.1 | 23.0\% | Comprehensive |
| McGrath | 2011 | 108 | 271 | 142 | 356.3 | 2.5 | 59.0\% | Comprehensive |
| Minto | 2012 | 46 | 133 | 61 | 176.4 | 2.9 | 95.5\% | Comprehensive |
| Nenana ${ }^{6}$ | 2015 | 134 | 322 | 243 | 583.9 | 2.4 | 34.8\% | Comprehensive |
| Nikolai | 2011 | 26 | 78 | 39 | 117.0 | 3.0 | 91.0\% | Comprehensive |
| Northway ${ }^{7}$ | 2014 | 55 | 146 | 73 | 193.8 | 2.7 | 87.7\% | Comprehensive |
| Nulato | 2010 | 84 | 243 | 90 | 260.4 | 2.9 | 96.7\% | Comprehensive |
| Rampart | 2014 | 7 | 21 | 13 | 39.0 | 3.0 | 100.0\% | Comprehensive |
| Ruby city | 2010 | 47 | 128 | 66 | 179.7 | 2.7 | 82.8\% | Comprehensive |
| Shageluk | 2013 | 26 | 76 | 29 | 84.8 | 2.9 | 97.4\% | Comprehensive |
| Stevens Village | 2014 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 10.0 | 2.5 | 100.0\% | Comprehensive |
| Takotna | 2011 | 14 | 33 | 22 | 51.9 | 2.4 | 51.5\% | Comprehensive |
| Tok | 2011 | 143 | 338 | 555 | 1311.8 | 2.4 | 16.3\% | Comprehensive |
| Wiseman | 2011 | 5 | 13 | 5 | 13.0 | 2.6 | 0.0\% | Comprehensive |

${ }^{5}$ Dot Lake includes Dot Lake Village and Dot Lake CDPs.
${ }^{6}$ Nenana includes Four Mile Road and Nenana CDPs.
${ }^{7}$ Northway includes Northway Junction, Northway Village, and Northway CDPs.

## Appendix D

Table D1. Harvest and use characteristics by species, Southeast Alaska.

| Resource | Used | Attempted | Harvested | Received | Gave away | Per capita (gpd) ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salmon | 93.0\% | 69.0\% | 66.0\% | 69.0\% | 54.0\% | 94.1 |
| Chum salmon | 22.0\% | 17.0\% | 16.0\% | 8.0\% | 10.0\% | 5.1 |
| Coho salmon | 59.0\% | 45.0\% | 43.0\% | 27.0\% | 31.0\% | 23.6 |
| Chinook salmon | 71.0\% | 44.0\% | 39.0\% | 45.0\% | 29.0\% | 13.7 |
| Pink salmon | 25.0\% | 21.0\% | 20.0\% | 7.0\% | 8.0\% | 4.8 |
| Sockeye salmon | 73.0\% | 48.0\% | 45.0\% | 46.0\% | 39.0\% | 46.9 |
| Unknown salmon | 4.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.1 |
| Nonsalmon fish | 86.0\% | 56.0\% | 52.0\% | 65.0\% | 43.0\% | 53.6 |
| Pacific herring | 21.0\% | 16.0\% | 16.0\% | 8.0\% | 8.0\% | 6.1 |
| Pacific halibut | 83.0\% | 46.0\% | 40.0\% | 61.0\% | 39.0\% | 43.4 |
| Char | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1 |
| Brook trout | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Dolly Varden | 20.0\% | 17.0\% | 17.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 3.1 |
| Arctic grayling | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Cutthroat trout | 7.0\% | 7.0\% | 6.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.6 |
| Rainbow trout | 6.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.2 |
| Steelhead | 6.0\% | 5.0\% | 4.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.1 |
| Unknown trout | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Unknown whitefishes | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Marine mammals | 27.0\% | 10.0\% | 10.0\% | 22.0\% | 12.0\% | 14.9 |
| Fur seal | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Harbor seal | 25.0\% | 9.0\% | 8.0\% | 21.0\% | 10.0\% | 11.9 |
| Unknown seal oil | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Unknown seal | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Sea otter | 4.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 1.0\% | 2.0\% | 3.0 |
| Steller sea lion | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Unknown whale | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Marine invertebrates | 78.0\% | 47.0\% | 46.0\% | 63.0\% | 36.0\% | 26.4 |
| Abalone | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.1 |
| Chitons (bidarkis, gumboots) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Red (large) chitons | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.4 |
| Black (small) chitons | 18.0\% | 13.0\% | 13.0\% | 9.0\% | 9.0\% | 1.9 |
| Unknown chitons | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Butter clams | 22.0\% | 15.0\% | 15.0\% | 11.0\% | 11.0\% | 3.0 |
| Horse clams | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1 |
| Pacific littleneck clams (steamers) | 14.0\% | 10.0\% | 10.0\% | 6.0\% | 5.0\% | 1.0 |
| Pinkneck clams | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.4 |
| Razor clams | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.2 |
| Unknown clams | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1 |
| Basket cockles | 22.0\% | 14.0\% | 14.0\% | 13.0\% | 11.0\% | 3.7 |

Table D1, continued. Harvest and use characteristics by species, Southeast Alaska.

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Per capita <br> (gpd) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Resource | Used | Attempted | Harvested | Received | Gave away |  |
| Marine invertebrates, continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heart cockles | $5.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | 1.0 |
| Unknown cockles | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | 0.3 |
| Dungeness crab | $62.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $46.0 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ | 4.4 |
| Blue king crab | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Brown king crab | $2.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | 0.1 |
| Red king crab | $14.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | 0.9 |
| Unknown tanner crab | $6.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | 0.1 |
| Unknown crab | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Geoducks | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Limpets | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Unknown mussels | $3.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | 0.1 |
| Octopus | $11.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | 1.0 |
| Weathervane scallops | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.1 |
| Rock scallops | $2.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.1 |
| Unknown scallops | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Sea cucumber | $4.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | 0.5 |
| Green sea urchin | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Red sea urchin | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Purple sea urchin | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Unknown sea urchin | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Shrimp | $37.0 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | 6.9 |
| Squid | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |

a. Per capita grams per day are based on harvest amounts divided by the total number of individuals in study communities within the region regardless of consumption status.
Source ADF\&G Division of Subsistence household surveys, 2009-2016.


Figure D1. Composition of grams per day (gpd) and percentage of total grams per day by resource category, Southeast Alaska.


Figure D2. Percentages of households that used, attempted, and harvested wild resources, by category, Southeast Alaska.

Table D2. Harvest and use characteristics by species, Southcentral Alaska.

| Resource | Used | Attempted | Harvested | Received | Gave away | Per capita (gpd) ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salmon | 90.0\% | 65.0\% | 61.0\% | 64.0\% | 51.0\% | 90.3 |
| Chum salmon | 9.0\% | 7.0\% | 6.0\% | 4.0\% | 4.0\% | 1.9 |
| Coho salmon | 43.0\% | 33.0\% | 30.0\% | 22.0\% | 19.0\% | 14.3 |
| Chinook salmon | 52.0\% | 38.0\% | 32.0\% | 32.0\% | 23.0\% | 14.1 |
| Pink salmon | 14.0\% | 12.0\% | 11.0\% | 7.0\% | 6.0\% | 4.6 |
| Sockeye salmon | 79.0\% | 53.0\% | 49.0\% | 53.0\% | 43.0\% | 55.4 |
| Landlocked salmon | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1 |
| Spawning sockeye salmon | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Unknown salmon | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Nonsalmon fish | 71.0\% | 54.0\% | 50.0\% | 49.0\% | 31.0\% | 24.5 |
| Pacific herring | 3.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.4 |
| Pacific halibut | 54.0\% | 25.0\% | 22.0\% | 41.0\% | 20.0\% | 12.7 |
| Burbot | 11.0\% | 9.0\% | 8.0\% | 4.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.0 |
| Char | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Brook trout | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Dolly Varden | 13.0\% | 13.0\% | 12.0\% | 4.0\% | 5.0\% | 4.5 |
| Lake trout | 11.0\% | 11.0\% | 9.0\% | 2.0\% | 3.0\% | 1.4 |
| Arctic grayling | 20.0\% | 18.0\% | 16.0\% | 5.0\% | 6.0\% | 1.1 |
| Northern pike | 3.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.6 |
| Sheefish | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Sturgeon | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Longnose sucker | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1 |
| Cutthroat trout | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1 |
| Rainbow trout | 16.0\% | 16.0\% | 14.0\% | 5.0\% | 4.0\% | 1.4 |
| Steelhead | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Unknown trout | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Broad whitefish | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3 |
| Least cisco | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1 |
| Humpback whitefish | 3.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.3 |
| Lake whitefish | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Round whitefish | 3.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.3 |
| Unknown whitefishes | 3.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.1 |
| Unknown nonsalmon fish | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Marine mammals | 10.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.0\% | 9.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.2 |
| Bearded seal | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Fur seal | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Harbor seal | 8.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.0\% | 7.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.2 |

[^1]Table D2, continued. Harvest and use characteristics by species, Southcentral Alaska.

| Resource | Used | Attempted | Harvested | Received | Gave away | Per capita (gpd) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marine mammals, continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unknown seal | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Sea otter | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2 |
| Steller sea lion | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.8 |
| Walrus | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Beluga whale | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Bowhead whale | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Humpback whale | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Unknown whale | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Marine invertebrates | 29.0\% | 18.0\% | 17.0\% | 21.0\% | 12.0\% | 3.9 |
| Red (large) chitons | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Black (small) chitons | 8.0\% | 6.0\% | 6.0\% | 4.0\% | 4.0\% | 0.5 |
| Unknown chitons | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Butter clams | 9.0\% | 6.0\% | 6.0\% | 5.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.3 |
| Freshwater clams | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Horse clams | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Pacific littleneck clams (steamers) | 3.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.2 |
| Pinkneck clams | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Razor clams | 7.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 5.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.6 |
| Softshell clams | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Unknown clams | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Unknown cockles | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Dungeness crab | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.2 |
| King crab | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.3 |
| Tanner crab, bairdi | 4.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 3.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.1 |
| Unknown tanner crab | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1 |
| Unknown crab | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Geoducks | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Limpets | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Unknown mussels | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.1 |
| Octopus | 9.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 6.0\% | 4.0\% | 0.9 |
| Oyster | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Weathervane scallops | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Rock scallops | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Unknown scallops | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Unknown sea cucumber | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Unknown sea urchin | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Shrimp | 9.0\% | 4.0\% | 3.0\% | 7.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.5 |

- Continued -

Table D2, continued. Harvest and use characteristics by species, Southcentral Alaska.

| Resource | Used | Attempted | Harvested | Received | Gave away | Per capita <br> (gpd) $^{\text {a }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Marine invertebrates, continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Snails | $4.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | 0.1 |
| Squid | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Whelk | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Unknown marine invertebrates | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |

a. Per capita grams per day are based on harvest amounts divided by the total number of individuals in study communities within the region regardless of consumption status.
Source ADF\&G Division of Subsistence household surveys, 2009-2016.


Figure D3. Composition of grams per day (gpd) and percentage of total grams per day by resource category, Southcentral Alaska.


Figure D4. Percentages of households that used, attempted, and harvested wild resources, by category, Southcentral Alaska.

Table D3. Harvest and use characteristics by species, Southwest Alaska.

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Per capita |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (gpd) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]Table D3, continued. Harvest and use characteristics by species, Southwest Alaska.

| Resource |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Marine mammals, continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sea otter | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| (gpd) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

a. Per capita grams per day are based on harvest amounts divided by the total number of individuals in study communities within the region regardless of consumption status.

Source ADF\&G Division of Subsistence household surveys, 2009-2016.


Figure D5. Composition of grams per day (gpd) and percentage of total grams per day by resource category, Southwest Alaska.


Figure D6. Percentages of households that used, attempted, and harvested wild resources, by category, Southwest Alaska.

Table D4. Harvest and use characteristics by species, Western Alaska.

| Resource | Used | Attempted | Harvested | Received | Gave away | Per capita (gpd) ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salmon | 94.0\% | 63.0\% | 61.0\% | 61.0\% | 45.0\% | 130.5 |
| Chum salmon | 71.0\% | 51.0\% | 48.0\% | 35.0\% | 30.0\% | 49.2 |
| Coho salmon | 55.0\% | 37.0\% | 35.0\% | 26.0\% | 21.0\% | 21.3 |
| Chinook salmon | 77.0\% | 53.0\% | 50.0\% | 41.0\% | 31.0\% | 38.7 |
| Pink salmon | 10.0\% | 8.0\% | 8.0\% | 4.0\% | 3.0\% | 1.3 |
| Sockeye salmon | 48.0\% | 35.0\% | 34.0\% | 20.0\% | 20.0\% | 19.9 |
| Unknown salmon | 3.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2 |
| Nonsalmon fish | 84.0\% | 62.0\% | 61.0\% | 62.0\% | 40.0\% | 70.4 |
| Pacific herring | 14.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 11.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.5 |
| Pacific halibut | 24.0\% | 6.0\% | 6.0\% | 20.0\% | 5.0\% | 3.9 |
| Burbot | 42.0\% | 28.0\% | 26.0\% | 21.0\% | 15.0\% | 10.9 |
| Char | 5.0\% | 4.0\% | 4.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.6 |
| Brook trout | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Dolly Varden | 11.0\% | 10.0\% | 9.0\% | 4.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.2 |
| Lake trout | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1 |
| Unknown char | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1 |
| Arctic grayling | 9.0\% | 8.0\% | 8.0\% | 2.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.2 |
| Northern pike | 46.0\% | 38.0\% | 36.0\% | 16.0\% | 18.0\% | 16.4 |
| Sheefish | 33.0\% | 22.0\% | 21.0\% | 17.0\% | 12.0\% | 10.8 |
| Sturgeon | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Longnose sucker | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Rainbow trout | 8.0\% | 6.0\% | 6.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.3 |
| Unknown trout | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Broad whitefish | 44.0\% | 27.0\% | 26.0\% | 24.0\% | 16.0\% | 9.4 |
| Bering cisco | 19.0\% | 12.0\% | 11.0\% | 10.0\% | 7.0\% | 1.7 |
| Least cisco | 13.0\% | 9.0\% | 8.0\% | 6.0\% | 5.0\% | 0.5 |
| Unknown cisco | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Humpback whitefish | 44.0\% | 29.0\% | 28.0\% | 23.0\% | 16.0\% | 7.5 |
| Round whitefish | 7.0\% | 4.0\% | 3.0\% | 4.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.2 |
| Unknown whitefishes | 3.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1 |
| Unknown nonsalmon fish | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Marine mammals | 59.0\% | 18.0\% | 14.0\% | 54.0\% | 19.0\% | 27.0 |
| Harbor porpoise | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Unknown porpoise | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Bearded seal | 22.0\% | 13.0\% | 9.0\% | 15.0\% | 10.0\% | 11.5 |
| Harbor seal | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1 |
| Ribbon seal | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2 |

- Continued -

Table D4, continued. Harvest and use characteristics by species, Western Alaska.

| Resource | Used | Attempted | Harvested | Received | Gave away | Per capita <br> (gpd) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Marine mammals, continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ringed seal | $15.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | 3.6 |
| Unknown seal oil | $7.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Unknown seal | $31.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Steller sea lion | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Walrus | $12.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | 3.1 |
| Beluga whale | $20.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | 8.6 |
| Bowhead whale | $5.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Common minke whale | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Unknown marine mammals | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marine invertebrates | $8.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | 0.3 |
| Butter clams | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Freshwater clams | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Razor clams | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Unknown clams | $6.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | 0.1 |
| Unknown cockles | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Dungeness crab | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Blue king crab | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Red king crab | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Unknown king crab | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Unknown tanner crab | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Unknown crab | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Blue mussels | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Unknown mussels | $2.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Octopus | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Unknown scallops | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Shrimp | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Snails | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Unknown marine invertebrates | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |

a. Per capita grams per day are based on harvest amounts divided by the total number of individuals in study communities within the region regardless of consumption status.
Source ADF\&G Division of Subsistence household surveys, 2009-2016.


Figure D7. Composition of grams per day (gpd) and percentage of total grams per day by resource category, Western Alaska.


Figure D8. Percentages of households that used, attempted, and harvested wild resources, by category, Western Alaska.

Table D5. Harvest and use characteristics by species, Arctic Alaska.

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Per capita |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (gpd) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- Continued -

Table D5, continued. Harvest and use characteristics by species, Arctic Alaska.

| Resource | Used | Attempted | Harvested | Received | Gave away | Per capita <br> (gpd) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Marine mammals, continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walrus | $13.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 9.0 |
| Beluga whale | $21.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | 12.1 |
| Bowhead whale | $32.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | 69.0 |
| Gray whale | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Killer whale | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Marine invertebrates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Butter clams | $15.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | 1.6 |
| Razor clams | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Unknown clams | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Unknown cockles | $4.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | 0.1 |
| Dungeness crab | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Hair crab | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Blue king crab | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Red king crab | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | 0.7 |
| Unknown king crab | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Hanasaki crab | $10.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | 0.7 |
| Unknown tanner crab | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Unknown crab | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Unknown mussels | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Sea anemone | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Shrimp | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Snails | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Unknown marine invertebrates | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |

a. Per capita grams per day are based on harvest amounts divided by the total number of individuals in study communities within the region regardless of consumption status.
Source ADF\&G Division of Subsistence household surveys, 2009-2016.


Figure D9. Composition of grams per day (gpd) and percentage of total grams per day by resource category, Arctic Alaska.


Figure D10. Percentages of households that used, attempted, and harvested wild resources, by category, Western Alaska.

Table D6. Harvest and use characteristics by species, Interior Alaska.

|  |  |  |  |  | Per capita |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (gpd) |  |  |  |  |  |

[^3]Table D6, continued. Harvest and use characteristics by species, Interior Alaska.

| Resource | Used | Attempted | Harvested | Received | Gave away | Per capita <br> (gpd) $)^{\text {a }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Marine mammals, continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unknown seal | $6.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Sea otter | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Steller sea lion | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Walrus | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Beluga whale | $3.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Bowhead whale | $3.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Unknown whale | $3.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marine invertebrates | $6.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | 0.2 |
| Abalone | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Butter clams | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Freshwater clams | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Razor clams | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.1 |
| Unknown clams | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Dungeness crab | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Blue king crab | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Unknown king crab | $2.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Tanner crab, opillio | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Unknown tanner crab | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Unknown crab | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Unknown mussels | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Octopus | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Oyster | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Unknown scallops | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Shrimp | $2.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.1 |
| Squid | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Unknown marine invertebrates | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |

a. Per capita grams per day are based on harvest amounts divided by the total number of individuals in study communities within the region regardless of consumption status. Source ADF\&G Division of Subsistence household surveys, 2009-2016.


Figure D11. Composition of grams per day (gpd) and percentage of total grams per day by resource category, Interior Alaska.


Figure D12. Percentages of households that used, attempted, and harvested wild resources, by category, Interior Alaska.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Copper Center includes Silver Springs and Copper Center CDPs.
    ${ }^{2}$ Kenny Lake includes Willow Creek and Kenny Lake CDPs.
    ${ }^{3}$ Seldovia includes Seldovia Village and Seldovia CDPs.
    ${ }^{4}$ Slana includes Nebesna and Slana CDPs.

[^1]:    - Continued -

[^2]:    - Continued -

[^3]:    - Continued -

