

**Alaska Judicial Council
2010 Judicial Retention Performance Evaluation Materials
Judge Vanessa H. White
Palmer Superior Court**

The Judicial Council finds Judge White to be **Qualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote **"YES"** to retain her as a superior court judge.

Retention evaluation materials for this judge

1. Voter pamphlet page.	2
This page will appear in the State of Alaska Election Pamphlet sent to each Alaskan household.	
2. Judge questionnaire.	3
The judge's response to a Judicial Council questionnaire.	
3. Survey scores in 2010	
<i>(To view survey scores for all judges on the ballot go to main 2010 retention page.)</i>	
a. Attorney; Peace Officer; Social Worker/Guardians Ad Litem/CASA scores.	10
b. Juror survey scores.	17
c. Court employee survey scores.	18
4. Survey scores in previous retention evaluations	
<i>(This judge is standing for retention for the first time)</i>	

Retention evaluation materials for all judges on the ballot

(To view these materials go to main 2010 retention page.)

1. Peremptory challenges	
Analysis of peremptory challenge rates for judges.	
2. Recusals	
Evaluation of judge's record of self-disqualification from cases, or "recusals."	
3. Appellate Affirmance Rates	
Analysis of appellate decisions involving each trial judge's cases.	
4. Salary Warrant Withholdings	
Evaluation of judge's record of pay withholding for undecided or uncompleted decisions.	

Alaska Judicial Council Recommendation

Judge Vanessa H. White, Superior Court, Palmer

Judicial Council Recommendation 2010

The Alaska Judicial Council is a non-partisan citizens' commission established by the Alaska constitution. Alaskan law requires the Council to evaluate judges' performance and authorizes the Council to recommend to voters whether judges should be retained in office. The Judicial Council reviews judges' integrity, diligence, legal ability, fairness, demeanor, ability to manage their caseloads, and overall performance of their judicial responsibilities in and out of the courtroom. The Judicial Council finds Judge White to be **Qualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote **"YES"** to retain her as a superior court judge.

Judicial Council Evaluation

The Judicial Council surveyed thousands of Alaskans including peace and probation officers, court employees, attorneys, jurors, social workers/guardians ad litem, and child advocates about the judges on the ballot. Respondents were asked to rate judicial performance and to submit comments. The Council also reviewed the ratings and observations of the Alaska Judicial Observers, independent community-based volunteers. The Council reviewed the judge's peremptory challenge, recusals, and appellate affirmance and reversal rates; any civil or criminal litigation involving the judge; APOC and court system conflict-of-interest statements; any disciplinary files involving the judge; and whether a judge's pay was withheld for an untimely decision. The Council reviewed other court records and investigated judicial conduct in specific cases. The Council interviewed some judges, attorneys, and court staff, and held a statewide public hearing to obtain comments about judges.

	Attorney Survey	Peace Officer Survey	Juror Survey	Court Employee Survey	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs
Legal Ability	4.0	---	---	---	---
Impartiality	4.1	3.8	4.9	4.5	4.6
Integrity	4.3	4.2	---	4.6	4.6
Temperament	4.2	4.2	5.0	4.5	4.8
Diligence	4.2	4.1	---	4.5	4.6
Overall	4.1	4.2	5.0	4.5	4.6

Ratings are based on a one to five scale. Five is the best rating and three is "acceptable."

Rating Scale

5.0 = Excellent
4.0 = Good
3.0 = Acceptable
2.0 = Deficient
1.0 = Poor

Summary of Survey Information

Survey respondents rated Judge White on the categories summarized in the table above, using 5 as the highest rating possible. The attorney rating for Judge White on overall performance was 4.1. Peace and probation officers gave Judge White a rating of 4.2. Jurors rated her 5.0 overall, court employees gave her 4.5, and social workers, guardians ad litem and CASA volunteers rated her at 4.6.

Recommendation: Vote "YES" to retain Judge Vanessa H. White

RECEIVED

DEC 1 2009

ALASKA JUDICIAL COUNCIL

**Alaska Judicial Council
Questionnaire**

**Trial Judge
2010 Candidates for Judicial Retention**

October 23, 2010

Vanessa H. White
Name

Palmer Superior
Court

1. Please estimate your workload during your present term.

a) 67 % civil cases b) 4-5 # of jury trials/year
 30 % criminal cases 40 # of non-jury trials/year
 3 % court administrative work 4-5 # of administrative appeals/year
100 % Total

Admin responsibilities are likely to increase in 2010.
Non-jury trials are mostly domestic relations.
I presided over 1 criminal bench trial in 2009

2. Please describe your participation on court/bar committees or other administrative activities during your current term of office.

① Recently appointed to Family Law Rules Committee
② Recently appointed to Judicial Wellness Committee
③ Magistrate / Master Training Judge
④ Member of Lawyer's Assistance Committee
⑤ Member of AK Bar Examiners' Committee

3. Please assess, in one or two paragraphs, your judicial performance during your present term. Appropriate areas of comment could include: satisfaction with your judicial role, specific contributions to the judiciary or the field of law, increases in legal knowledge and judicial skills, or other measures of judicial abilities that you believe to be important.

After nearly three years on the bench, I continue to believe that I have the greatest job in the world. I think that my skill set is well-suited to the work, even if my legal knowledge lags a bit behind. I work long, hard hours to fill the gaps in my legal training and experience, especially in the area of criminal law. I hope the litigants and attorneys who appear in my court recognize that I will work diligently to insure that I have a sufficient store of knowledge before I rule. The best way to increase my knowledge is to acknowledge and address those areas where I still am learning.

I try to contribute to the Valley Community where and where I can, given ethical constraints. I preside over graduations for CASA volunteers. I am scheduled to speak with Mat-Su school principals. I present at CLE's and attend other trainings for GALs/CASAs, etc. I attend and participate in Justice Faber's "Success Inside and Out" at Highland Mountain Correctional.

My judicial skills are improving. My working knowledge of evidence has improved significantly. I maintain reasonably good control of my courtroom. I believe I have a good judicial temperament.

Alaska Judicial Council
 Trial Judge Questionnaire
 2010 Retention

4. During your most recent term as a judge, have you:

- a) had a tax lien filed or other collection procedure instituted against you by federal, state, or local authorities? Yes _____ No X.
- b) been involved in a non-judicial capacity in any legal proceeding whether as a party or otherwise? Yes X No ~~X~~
- c) engaged in the practice of law (other than as a judge)? Yes _____ No X.
- d) held office in any political party? Yes _____ No X.
- e) held any other local state or federal office? Yes _____ No X.
- f) had any complaints, charges or grievances filed against you with the Alaska Commission on Judicial Conduct, the Alaska Bar Association, or with the Alaska Court System that resulted in public proceedings or sanctions? Yes _____ No X. - not to my knowledge

5. If your answer to any of the questions above is "yes," please give full details, including dates, facts, case numbers and outcomes.

On 11/13/09, a small claims matter was filed which names me and my husband as defendants. Sharon Gratix v. Michael & Vanessa White, 3PA-09-5222 SC. This involves a house my husband purchased at Big Lake on 9/30/09. Ms. Gratix alleges that he owes her \$5,000 (or less) for his share of home repairs. I was not a party to the sale.

6. Please provide any other information which you believe would assist the Council in conducting its evaluations and in preparing its recommendations for the 2010 retention elections.

Alaska Judicial Council
 Trial Judge Questionnaire
 2010 Retention

7. Please list your three most recent jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: State Case Number: 3PA-07-1322 CR
 v. Billy Jack Wigglesworth

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Richard Allen Suite 100</u>	Name: <u>Abigail Sheldon</u>
Address: <u>11921 Palmer Wasilla Hwy.</u>	Address: <u>P.O. Box 873133</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Palmer, AK 99645</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Wasilla, AK 99687</u>

Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 2

Case Name: State Case Number: 3PA-07-3231 CR
 v. Christopher Rogers

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Roman Kalyteak</u>	Name: <u>John R. Richard</u>
Address: <u>11921 Palmer Wasilla Hwy. Suite 100</u>	Address: <u>268 E. Firwood Ave.</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Palmer, AK 99645</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Palmer, AK 99645</u>

Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 3

Case Name: State Case Number: 3PA-07-2136 CR
 v. Mark Anderson

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Rachel Gernat</u>	Name: <u>William English</u>
Address: <u>11921 Palmer Wasilla Hwy. Suite 100</u>	Address: <u>310 K Street, Suite 200</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Palmer, AK 99645</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>

Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Alaska Judicial Council
Trial Judge Questionnaire
2010 Retention

8. Please list your three most recent non-jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in your three most recent non-jury trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

These are not necessarily the three most recent, but are the three most involved trials in the past two years.

Case

Name:

State

Case Number:

3PA-07-3526 CR

v.

Mario Paradiso

Attorneys Involved:

Name:

Paul Roetman

Name:

Andrew Weinraub

Address:

11921 Palmer Wasilla Hwy Suite 100

Address:

268 E. Fireweed Ave.

City, State, Zip:

Palmer, AK 99645

City, State, Zip:

Palmer, AK 99645

Name:

Address:

City, State, Zip:

Name:

Address:

City, State, Zip:

Sam Westergren

268 E. Fireweed Ave

Palmer, AK 99645

Case Number 2

Case

Name:

Christopher Cyphers

Case Number:

3PA-07-1865 CI

v.

Tina Cyphers

Attorneys Involved:

Name:

Gregory Parrin

Name:

David Golder

Address:

1075 S. Chick St., Suite 212

Address:

348 S. Denali St.

City, State, Zip:

Wasilla, AK 99654

City, State, Zip:

Palmer, AK 99645

Name:

Address:

City, State, Zip:

Name:

Address:

City, State, Zip:

Case Number 3

Case

Name:

Stephen Pace

Case Number:

3PA-06-2047 CI

v.

Maura Leary

Attorneys Involved:

Name:

Ken Harold Goldman

Name:

Alexis Foote

Address:

248 S. Alaska St.

Address:

640 Oceanview Dr.

City, State, Zip:

Palmer, AK 99645

City, State, Zip:

Anchorage, AK 99515

Name:

Address:

City, State, Zip:

Name:

Address:

City, State, Zip:

Alaska Judicial Council
 Trial Judge Questionnaire
 2010 Retention

9. Please list your three most recent cases, including case names and numbers, which did not go to trial, but on which you did significant work (such as settlement conference, hearings, motion work, etc.). Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in your three most recent non-jury trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: Matthew Turnbull Case Number: 3PA-08-1051 CI
 v. Lorie Turnbull Judge Cutler's case. I did settlement conference
 Attorneys Involved:
 Name: Ken Goldman Name: Annelle Armour
 Address: 248 S. Alaska St. Address: 851 E. Wasilla Point, Suite 201
 City, State, Zip: Palmer, AK 99645 City, State, Zip: Wasilla, AK 99654
 Name: _____ Name: _____
 Address: _____ Address: _____
 City, State, Zip: _____ City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 2

Case Name: Danna Ladd Case Number: 3PA-05-1528 CI
 v. Herbert Schwager
 Attorneys Involved:
 Name: Danny Bunker Name: William Royce
 Address: P.O. Box 870770 Address: 1227 W. 9th, Suite 300
 City, State, Zip: Wasilla, AK 99687 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501
 Name: _____ Name: _____
 Address: _____ Address: _____
 City, State, Zip: _____ City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 3

Case Name: Carlin Badger Case Number: 3PA-06-1853 CI
 v. Florence La Rose Judge Cutler's case. I did settlement conference
 Attorneys Involved:
 Name: Patricia Hefferen Name: Pro Se Defendant
 Address: 351 W. Swanson Ave #3 Address: _____
 City, State, Zip: Wasilla, AK 99654 City, State, Zip: _____
 Name: _____ Name: _____
 Address: _____ Address: _____
 City, State, Zip: _____ City, State, Zip: _____

Alaska Judicial Council
 Trial Judge Questionnaire
 2010 Retention

10. *Optional:* If you deem it helpful to the Council, please list up to three other cases during your past term in which you believe your work was particularly noteworthy. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: Harry Brunn Hoelzel Case Number: _____
 v. Ralph Hills HOA

Attorneys Involved:

Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 2

Case Name: NPI, LLC Case Number: _____
 v. Mat-Su Borough

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Douglas Pope</u>	Name: <u>Christopher Slotter</u>
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 3

Case Name: _____ Case Number: _____
 v. _____

Attorneys Involved:

Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Third Judicial District Vanessa H. White - Palmer Superior Court

Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores

Summary of survey information

Judge White's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated her 4.1 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated her 4.2 overall, and social workers, Guardians ad Litem and CASA volunteers rated her 4.6 overall.

	Attorney Survey N=103	Peace Officer Survey N=17	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs N=5
Legal Ability	4.0	--	--
Impartiality	4.1	3.8	4.6
Integrity	4.3	4.2	4.6
Temperament	4.2	4.2	4.8
Diligence	4.2	4.1	4.6
Overall	4.1	4.2	4.6

The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, public comments, and the ratings by the independent citizen volunteers at Alaska Judicial Observers. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at www.ajc.state.ak.us.

Superior Court Judge Vanessa H. White**A. Alaska Bar Association****Demographic Description**

		N	%
Type of Practice			
	No Response	2	1.4%
	Private, Solo	33	23.9%
	Private, 2-5 Attorneys	27	19.6%
	Private, 6+ Attorneys	13	9.4%
	Private, Corporate Employee	3	2.2%
	Judge or Judicial Officer	25	18.1%
	Government	32	23.2%
	Public Service Agency or Organization (Not Govt)	2	1.4%
	Other	1	.7%
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No Response	4	2.9%
	5 Years or fewer	8	5.8%
	6 to 10 years	17	12.3%
	11 to 15 years	20	14.5%
	16 to 20 years	11	8.0%
	21 years or more	78	56.5%
Gender			
	No Response	4	2.9%
	Male	74	53.6%
	Female	60	43.5%
Cases Handled			
	No Response	3	2.2%
	Prosecution	7	5.1%
	Mainly Criminal	11	8.0%
	Mixed Criminal & Civil	33	23.9%
	Mainly Civil	75	54.3%
	Other	9	6.5%
Location of Practice			
	No Response	2	1.4%
	First District	1	.7%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	132	95.7%
	Fourth District	3	2.2%
	Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%

Judge Vanessa H. White: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation												
No Response		1		2		7		3		1		1
Direct Professional	4.0	103	4.1	102	4.3	97	4.2	101	4.2	103	4.1	103
Professional Reputation	4.1	21	4.3	20	4.3	20	4.2	21	4.1	21	4.1	21
Other Personal Contacts	4.2	10	4.3	11	4.5	11	4.3	10	4.5	10	4.3	10
Type of Practice												
No Response		0		0		0		0		0		0
Private, Solo	4.4	23	4.4	24	4.4	23	4.3	23	4.3	24	4.3	24
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	3.6	23	3.7	23	4.0	21	4.1	22	3.8	22	3.8	22
Private, 6+ Attorneys	3.6	8	4.0	7	4.0	6	3.9	8	3.8	8	3.6	8
Private, Corporate Employee	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.3	22	4.3	22	4.4	21	4.4	22	4.4	22	4.4	22
Government	3.8	22	3.9	22	4.1	21	4.1	21	4.0	22	4.0	22
Public Service Agency or Organization (Not Govt)	5.0	2	5.0	1	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Years of Practice in Alaska												
No Response		1		1		5		3		1		1
5 Years or fewer	4.6	5	4.4	5	4.6	5	5.0	5	4.6	5	4.6	5
6 to 10 years	3.9	12	3.8	11	4.1	12	4.3	12	4.1	12	4.0	12
11 to 15 years	4.0	17	4.2	17	4.3	16	4.4	17	4.3	17	4.3	17
16 to 20 years	4.4	8	4.1	8	4.3	7	4.3	8	4.1	8	4.1	8
21 years or more	4.0	58	4.1	58	4.2	54	4.1	56	4.1	58	4.1	58
Gender												
No Response		1		1		5		3		1		1
Male	4.0	64	4.1	64	4.2	60	4.2	62	4.1	64	4.1	64
Female	4.1	37	4.1	36	4.4	36	4.4	37	4.3	37	4.2	37
Cases Handled												
No Response		0		2		3		0		0		0
Prosecution	4.7	3	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.7	3
Mainly Criminal	4.1	10	4.1	10	4.3	10	4.7	10	4.5	10	4.2	10
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.0	27	4.0	28	4.2	25	4.2	27	4.1	28	4.1	28
Mainly Civil	4.0	55	4.2	53	4.3	52	4.2	55	4.1	55	4.1	55
Other	3.7	6	3.7	6	4.2	5	4.0	4	4.2	5	4.2	5
Location of Practice												
No Response		1		2		7		3		1		1
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.0	100	4.1	99	4.3	94	4.3	98	4.2	100	4.2	100
Fourth District	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Superior Court Judge Vanessa H. White**B. Peace and Probation Officers****Demographic Description**

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	9	45.0%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	--	0.0%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	11	55.0%
	Other	--	0.0%
Length of Alaska Experience			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	6	30.0%
	6 to 10 years	8	40.0%
	11 to 15 years	2	10.0%
	16 to 20 years	2	10.0%
	21 years or more	2	10.0%
Gender			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	11	55.0%
	Female	9	45.0%
Location of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	20	100%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
	Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%
Community Population			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	5	25.0%
	Over 35,000	15	75.0%

Judge Vanessa H. White
Peace and Probation Officers

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Direct Professional	3.8	17	4.2	17	4.2	17	4.1	17	4.2	17
Professional Reputation	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Type of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
State Law Enforcement Officer	3.3	6	4.0	6	4.0	6	3.7	6	4.0	6
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	4.1	11	4.4	11	4.3	11	4.3	11	4.4	11
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Length of Experience										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	3.8	6	4.3	6	4.3	6	4.2	6	4.3	6
6 to 10 years	3.5	6	3.8	6	3.7	6	3.7	6	3.8	6
11 to 15 years	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1
16 to 20 years	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
21 years or more	3.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2
Gender										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	3.3	8	4.0	8	4.0	8	3.8	8	4.0	8
Female	4.3	9	4.4	9	4.3	9	4.3	9	4.4	9
Location of Work										
No Response		0		1		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	3.8	17	4.2	17	4.2	17	4.1	17	4.2	17
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Community Population										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3.3	3	4.0	3	3.7	3	4.0	3	4.0	3
Over 35,000	3.9	14	4.3	14	4.3	14	4.1	14	4.3	14

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Superior Court Judge Vanessa H. White**C. Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers****Demographic Description**

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	2	25.0%
	Guardian ad Litem	--	0.0%
	CASA Volunteer	6	75.0%
	Other	--	0.0%
Length of Alaska Experience			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	4	50.0%
	6 to 10 years	4	50.0%
	11 to 15 years	--	0.0%
	16 to 20 years	--	0.0%
	21 years or more	--	0.0%
Gender			
	No Response	1	12.5%
	Male	--	0.0%
	Female	7	87.5%
Location of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	8	100%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
	Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%
Community Population			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	4	50.0%
	Over 35,000	4	50.0%

Judge Vanessa H. White
Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation										
No Response		0		0		1		0		0
Direct Professional	4.6	5	4.6	5	4.8	4	4.6	5	4.6	5
Professional Reputation	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Other Personal Contacts	5.0	1	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	1
Type of Work										
No Response		0		0		1		0		0
Social Worker	4.5	2	5.0	2	4.5	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
Guardian ad Litem	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
CASA Volunteer	4.7	3	4.3	3	5.0	2	4.3	3	4.3	3
Length of Experience										
No Response		0		0		1		0		0
5 Years or fewer	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
6 to 10 years	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.7	3	4.5	4	4.5	4
11 to 15 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Gender										
No Response		0		0		1		0		0
Male	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Female	4.8	4	4.5	4	4.7	3	4.5	4	4.5	4
Location of Work										
No Response		0		0		1		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.6	5	4.6	5	4.8	4	4.6	5	4.6	5
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Community Population										
No Response		0		0		1		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Over 35,000	4.8	4	4.5	4	4.7	3	4.5	4	4.5	4

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Juror Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation Vanessa H. White									
		Distribution of Ratings							
Survey Category	Mean	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Poor % (n)	Total Responses		
Impartiality/Fairness	4.9	94% 44	6% 3	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	47		
Respectful/Courteous	5.0	98% 46	2% 1	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	47		
Attentive during Proceedings	5.0	96% 45	4% 2	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	47		
Control over Proceedings	4.9	94% 44	6% 3	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	47		
Intelligence/Skill as a Judge	4.9	92% 43	6% 3	2% 1	0% 0	0% 0	47		
Overall Evaluation	5.0	96% 45	4% 2	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	47		

Court Employee Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation Vanessa White								
Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Poor % (n)	Mean	Total Responses	
Impartiality/Fairness	61% 22	31% 11	8% 3	0% 0	0% 0	4.5	36	
Integrity	58% 21	39% 14	3% 1	0% 0	0% 0	4.6	36	
Judicial Temperament	63% 24	26% 10	8% 3	3% 1	0% 0	4.5	38	
Diligence	58% 21	33% 12	6% 2	3% 1	0% 0	4.5	36	
Overall Evaluation	61% 22	31% 11	8% 3	0% 0	0% 0	4.5	36	