Submitted by: Assemblymember Birch and Traini

Prepared by: For reading:

Department of Law July 10, 2012

CLERK'S OFFICE **APPROVED**

7-10-12 Date:

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA AR NO. 2012-<u>1</u>81

IMMEDIATE RECONSIDERATION FAILED 7-10-12

> A RESOLUTION OF THE ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL ASSEMBLY DECLARING ITSELF MALAPPORTIONED IN ACCORDANCE WITH **CHARTER SECTION 4.01.**

3 4 5

1

2

WHEREAS, the Anchorage Municipal Charter Article IV, § 4.01 provides that the Assembly shall be reapportioned whenever it becomes malapportioned;

6 7 8

9

WHEREAS, on March 16, 2011, the United States Census Bureau released its 2010 Census report for Alaska with detailed population totals and demographic characteristics for use in redistricting for congressional, state and local legislative districts, taking into account population shifts since the 2000 Census; and

11 12 13

10

WHEREAS, data and information from the 2010 Census indicate the Assembly is malapportioned, including as indicated in Attachments A and B; now therefore,

14 15 16

THE ANCHORAGE ASSEMBLY RESOLVES:

17 18

19

20

Section 1. The Anchorage Assembly declares itself malapportioned and within six months from this date the Assembly shall make such boundary adjustments among the existing six Assembly Districts in order to ensure that the Assembly is fairly apportioned as required by law.

21 22 23

Section 2. This resolution shall be effective immediately upon its passage and approval.

24 25 26

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Anchorage Assembly this Uthday of

27 28 29

30 31

32

ATTEST:

33 34 35

36

Municipal Clerk



MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE

ASSEMBLY MEMORANDUM

No. AM 429-2012

Meeting Date: July 10, 2012

From:

 ASSEMBLYMEMBER CHRIS BIRCH

Subject:

A RESOLUTION OF THE ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL ASSEMBLY DECLARING ITSELF MALAPPORTIONED IN ACCORDANCE WITH

CHARTER SECTION 4.01.

This resolution is intended to comply with the Assembly's obligation to the voters and residents of the Municipality of Anchorage to ensure fair and equal representation and consistent with the constitutional mandate of "one person, one vote." Anchorage Municipal Charter section 4.01 states the "assembly shall be reapportioned whenever it becomes malapportioned." While the sentence following that quote requires the Assembly to review its election districts following the State of Alaska's adoption of a final state redistricting plan, that is not the only time reapportionment is required to occur. The directive to reapportion "whenever" applies when there is sufficient reliable evidence that Assembly election districts

are malapportioned.

The 2010 Census conducted by the United States Census Bureau contains data showing population shifts since the last decennial census. The Census Bureau delivered Alaska's 2010 Census population totals on March 16, 2011 and was soon followed by the Alaska Redistricting Board's (ARB) adoption of a redistricting plan and Proclamation of Redistricting on June 13, 2011 in accordance with the requirements of the Alaska Constitution, Art. VI. Following appeals up to the Alaska Supreme Court and remand, the ARB adopted an Amended Proclamation Plan on April 5, 2012, which is approved as an "interim" plan for the state's 2012 elections this fall. The U.S. Dept. of Justice pre-cleared the Amended Proclamation Plan on June 27, 2012, but it is still under review by the Alaska Supreme Court. Litigation over the state's plan could end soon, or continue for as long as another year.

The residents and voters of the Municipality should not have to wait when 2010 Census data indicates malapportionment currently exists, and reapportioning Assembly election district boundaries is feasible and required by Charter section 4.01. In addition, the original and amended redistricting plans adopted by the state both contain similar boundaries for the state's legislative districts, and while not final they are unlikely to change. Therefore a reapportioning of Assembly district boundaries within the next six months is unlikely to require any significant adjustments after the state's plan is finalized.

15 16 17

18

19

14

20 21 22

24252627

23

28 29 30

31

32

33

34 35

36 37

38

39 40

40 Prepared by:41 Respectfully submitted:

Department of Law

ASSEMBLY ELECTION DISTRICTS ARE MALAPPORTIONED.

Chris Birch, Assemblymember, District 6

The attached report from e-Terra summarizes the 2010 Census population by Assembly election district. (Attachment A.) Downtown, West and East Anchorage districts lost population, while Chugiak/Eagle River, Mid-Town and South Anchorage had significant growth. The Community Development Department validated this data and produced the attached map of Assembly election district boundaries. (Attachment B.) The Assembly districts are currently at 10.6% total deviation from the target populations. The data has a potential error of 0.01% due to a couple U.S. Census blocks in Northeast Anchorage being dissected by Assembly district boundaries. The target population is an ideal for compliance with the Equal Protection Clause of the United States Constitution, ensuring one person, one vote as well as fair and effective representation. The Alaska Supreme Court held in Kentopp v. Anchorage, 652 P.2d 435 (Alaska 1982), that under the Anchorage Municipal Charter a presumption of unlawful malapportionment exists when the total variance is over 10%. This rule as applicable to municipalities was reaffirmed as recently as four years ago in Braun v. Denali Borough, 193 P.3d 719 (Alaska 2008).

The *Kentopp* case also considered and rejected an argument that the adoption of a reapportionment plan required truncation of terms. Truncation is at the discretion of the Assembly and may be necessary if a reapportionment results in a substantial number of constituents shifting between election districts. The temporary shift of constituents from one district to another in a reapportionment plan is not a compelling reason for truncation when the shift is no greater than the normal transition of residents who move into or out of Assembly districts or who become of voting age. Furthermore, the continuity and stability associated with the staggered terms of Assembly members supports a decision to reject truncation of terms. This issue depends on the specific reapportionment plan that will be proposed. With an Assembly composed of staggered terms and the percent of the population affected as indicated in the attachments, it is unlikely that cutting short any Assembly member's term would be necessary.

Charter section 4.01 authorizes the reapportionment process to proceed, given the 2010 Census data. Therefore I submit this resolution finding the Assembly is malapportioned in accordance with our obligation to the people of Anchorage.

I RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE RESOLUTION DECLARING THE



STE 3-650 Anchorage, AK 99515

June 15, 2012

Chris Birch, P.E.

Anchorage Assembly 632 W 6th Avenue Sulte 250 Anchorage, AK 99501

RE: Anchorage Assembly Redistricting

Below is a table showing the results of analysis using existing 2002 MOA boundaries overlaid on the 2010 census data using industry standard redistricting GIS application Autobound. The results show that downtown, West and East Anchorage sections have a reduced population, white Chugiak / E.R., Mid-Town and South Anchorage had significant growth. The plan is currently 10.6% total deviation (*).

MOA 2002 boundaries, 2010 Census data

Section	tapersons	target	Dev	Diff
	25861	26530	-2.5%	-669
<i>"</i>	54974	53059	3.6%	1915
()	51066	53059	-3.8%	-1993
S/A: The same	53676	53059	1.2%	617
5	50303	53059	-5.2%	-2756
6	55946	53059	5.4%	2887
	-291791	291826	-0.01	0

Let me know if you need any other information.

(*) The existing MOA boundaries split through some large census blocks on the NE side. There are 25 people unaccounted for in this guick assessment (.01%)

Steve Colligan, President E-Terra, LLC 800 E Dimond Blvd Suite 3-650 Anchorage, AK 99515

(907) 230-3422 cel (907) 562-1500 office

